

I happened to be looking over a 2003 article on RMS bulletins ("Our 500th Issue," *RMS Bulletin, Jan/Feb 2003*), and the parts on bulletin content seemed ripe for an update. The bulletins have certainly varied over the years...in length, format, and content.

To get us started, here are those parts of the original article:

"Despite a spate of *Bulletins* in the early 1990s which had a lot of wasted space, maximum use of space has been characteristic of the *Bulletin* over the years ... and well it should be; the *Bulletin* is the single biggest expense that RMS has. We'd better darn well cram as much on those pages as is reasonable. Font size has usually been 12 pt, but often 10, and sometimes even 8 can be seen.

But, *content* overshadows everything else, and when thumbing through earlier *Bulletins*, say up to the 1980s, one notices three glaring differences: relatively few lists from 1957-early 1980s, few cover pictures until at least the latter 1970s (but you might expect that because the lack of technology), and a rather astoundingly small percentage of cover/collecting information, again from 1957 through the 1980s, although this begins to gradually climb beginning in 1984.

Here's a content analysis of *Bulletins* every four years, starting from 1944. Trying to be consistent, I used the first two issues of the year, purposely avoiding the post-August 'Convention issues' so the results would not be unduly skewed. Even still, I had to make a few adjustments as to which issues were analyzed; for example, the January 1944 *Letter* contains a long eulogy to RMS President Bob Lockard, so I substituted the March issue. The percentages represent how much of those bulletins were devoted to the topic noted at the top of the column.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u># of</u> pages	<u>Cover/</u> Collecting Info	<u>Social</u> <u>Info</u>	<u>RMS</u> Business	<u>Ads</u>	<u>Letters</u> <u>To Ed</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>Club</u> Info
10.11	<b>D</b> 11					0.00/	11.20/	
1944	Perkins	4	37.5%	5.0%	46.3%	0.0%	11.3%	0.0%
1948	Rush	4	46.2%	11.2%	18.7%	23.8%	0.0%	0.0%
1952	Sprenkle	9-10	60.0%	5.0%	20.5%	15.5%	0.0%	1.0%
1956	Mensch	12	43.7%	1.6%	15.7%	35.4%	1.6%	1.6%
1960	Abbott	14	27.5%	30.0%	13.2%	23.5%	2.8%	2.8%
1964	Abbott	12	27.5%	33.8%	18.3%	17.9%	0.0%	2.5%
1968	Abbott	10	12.5%	14.5%	48.5%	21.0%	0.0%	3.5%
1972	Abbott	14-16	16.6%	11.7%	38.7%	28.3%	0.0%	4.7%
1976	Gosztyl-	12-20	34.3%	9.6%	25.1%	25.9%	0.0%	3.7%
1980	Gosztyla	12-18	11.9%	9.4%	33.9%	28.8%	6.9%	6.5%
1984	Hollmann	16	23.4%	6.5%	32.9%	30.5%	3.4%	3.1%
1988	Retskin	16	20.6%	9.8%	37.6%	25.9%	5.0%	1.2%
1992	Retskin	20	36.7%	5.2%	38.0%	8.7%	6.2%	5.0%
1996	Prero	24	65.8%	1.3%	24.6%	3.3%	3.3%	1.6%
2000	Prero	28	56.3%	2.3%	20.5%	7.8%	10.4%	3.2%

From 1960 to the early 1980s, it's pretty obvious, the "collecting" theme was almost uniformly lost, and the *Bulletin* simply became an instrument to hype club business, run ads, and to a lesser extent pass on social information...Look, for example, at this notice in the May 1962 *Bulletin:* "Unfortunately, due to several reports and, fortunately, due to the welcome many ads for this issue, we are unable to have a regular column on covers. "- that entire issue was all convention news, other RMS business, and ads. Not a single cover was even pictured anywhere! That issue took the "matchcover" right out of "matchcover" collecting! [In fairness, though, keep in mind that the "collecting theme" represents a bias of mine; the next Editor after me could have a completely different point of view. That's why I started off pointing out that the Bulletin is an extension of the Editor's personality and philosophy.]

In any event, later on, the late Kent Morris was certainly correct when he complained that the RMS *Bulletin* was basically pre-convention news from Feb-Aug and post-convention news from Sep-January. The *Bulletin* has always contained some convention news during the year, and that's certainly to be expected, but such coverage became an obsession in the 1960s and 1970s. As an example of this "convention mania," just look at the front page headlines from 4 consecutive bulletins in 1977 (May/June, July/August, September/October, and November/December): "R.M.S. Convention," "R.M.S. Convention," "Remember/October," and "Convention Cover News." With *billions* of covers in existence and the entire world to canvas, the convention overshadowed everything. *[Readers should be aware that some RMS business 'stuff 'is mandated in the Bulletin. The Editor has to run some publicity for the convention, the display rules, Outstanding Collector of the Year rules, Hall of Fame announcements, amendments to the constitution, membership information, etc.]* 

There have been a number of controversies over the years regarding the *Bulletin*. At the 1961 convention, for example, it had been moved during the business meeting that the Editor receive an annual \$175 compensation for work on the *Bulletin*. At that point, one Earl Scott asked from the audience "what will we get for the \$175?" A long discussion followed and the motion was eventually voted down. Scott thought his actions were misrepresented in the following November *Bulletin* 

editorial. He wrote a rather scathing letter which was printed in the January 1962 *Bulletin* and answered by the Ed., etc. Most problems dealt with content, though. In the June 1960 *Bulletin*, for example, Ed. Ernestine Abbott notes that she's received multiple complaints about the minimum coverage given to covers, and her answer was that she wasn't getting enough reader input [*Any editor today will tell you if he or she relied on reader input, bulletins would be basically blank! On the other hand, we, as editors today, have much more information and sources to draw upon.*]

By the mid-1960s, in fact, there were so many complaints about running complete newspaper articles (instead of summarizing them) [and there were <u>a lot</u> of newspaper articles about collectors run in the early 1960s Bulletins], s-t-r-e-t-c-h-i-n-g text simply to fill space, all the space given over to advertising, and having a 'split' bulletin (part for the serious collector and part for the social collector), and more, that from Oct. 1965 - Mar. 1966, a Bulletin Committee actually investigated such complaints, and it was concluded that some were justifiable and some were just personal grudges [and notice how the social content of the Bulletin was drastically reduced right after that].

Notice, also, how much ad space there was in pre-1992 issues compared to later *Bulletins*. The 1960s and 1970s were the high point of the big advertisers: Hobbymaster, Beachcraft, and Frank Tripodi. Tripodi's ads, especially, usually ran from 3-7 pages! Also, since at least 1990, membership has been steadily declining, so we see a corresponding decline in ads run [Now, 1 can't even get people to run ads in our "Free Ad" issue each January!]. Personally, I wouldn't want to see a return to *Bulletins* which were so heavily laden with ads.

And, as far as the incredible amount of space devoted to 'RMS business,' aside from the convention coverage running amuck in the 1960s and 1970s especially, a 'dubious' advantage that I have as the current editor is that I don't have to deal with lengthy lists of new members! When RMS membership was at its highest in the 1980s, the membership report in each issue would run from 2-4 pages! Now, it runs one.

In defense of earlier Editors *[if they need any defense]*, we later Editors have significantly more room to play around with, and it's incredibly easier now to find good 'collecting' information with such things as the internet, scans, and e-mail. When I'm working on an article, for example, and I get stuck because I don't have the appropriate covers or all the appropriate information to pass on to readers, I just e-mail some knowledgeable collectors, and within an hour or two I have what I need!

This surge in technology that has occurred in the last 10-20 years, aside from making a nicer looking *Bulletin* possible, has made it much easier to compile lists, and store and retrieve information (thanks to the pc); communication between other collectors (i.e., sharing news, etc) has been greatly enhanced (thanks to e-mail); and the world's information is literally at our fingertips now (thanks to the internet).

Well I've saved the most controversial item for last [so I can hit and RUN!!]. Now, mind, I say the following in neither positive nor negative terms; it is not presented with any particular derogatory purpose in mind; I merely present it as 'food for thought,' a neutral observation based on a very glaring fact [notice how I'm making sure all of my escape routes are in place before I make said observation].

I simply find it interesting that the highest amount of social content and the longest sustained period of low collecting content both come from the only female editor that we've ever had ...[Oh! You sexist, male, chauvinist PIG!] OK, crucify me! That's what the stats show! I hasten to point out, though, that one couldn't draw any valid conclusions from that fact, simply because it's only based on one female editor, and one is not a valid sampling of anything. Ergo, no conclusions are possible. I merely thought

it was interesting ... "

All of the above was written in 2002. In the ensuing 13 years, a few bulletin factors have changed, the most notable being that the bulletin has expanded to 32 pages, a far cry from the original 4 pages in 1944. But, first here's an update of the previous statistical chart:

<u>Year</u>	Editor	<u># of</u> pages	<u>Cover/</u> Collecting <u>Info</u>	<u>Social</u> <u>Info</u>	<u>RMS</u> <u>Business</u>	<u>Ads</u>	<u>Letters</u> <u>To Ed</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>Club</u> <u>Info</u>
2000	Prero	28	56.3%	2.3%	20.5%	7.8%	10.4%	3.2%
2004	Prero	32	66.7%	5.0%	13.9%	3.5%	6.6%	4.1%
2008	Prero	32	67.8%	1.6%	12.1%	4.5%	6.4%	6.6%
2012	Prero	32	68.7%	4.4%	9.3%	6.4%	7.0%	5.1%

Notice the jump in Cover/Collecting Info after 2000. That's a direct result of the additional 4 pages added to the previous 28-page format, although some of those extra pages sometimes have to be used for convention ads and information. For example, in this issue we have the registration form for this year's RMS Convention.

The decline in space given over to ads from the 1996 stats onwards is a result of the shrinking membership, and, I think, a resulting general apathy about running ads altogether. I often hear, "No one's answered my ad," but there are simply far fewer collectors today qualified to answer those ads. Still, 'nothing ventured, nothing gained.' Advertising can't hurt, and classified ads are now free, so....

Complaints about the bulletin? Surprisingly, I've gotten few over the years. With reference to bulletin content, There were two, both early on, and both having to do with emphasizing older covers too much. While not ignoring newer issues, I probably still do that, but my reasoning has always been that 1) older covers comprise the majority of the covers that have ever been issued; 2) older covers are just nicer; and 3) older covers have the nostalgia factor that strikes a chord in so many people, collectors and non-collectors alike.

Oddly enough, it was another complaint that eventually lead to the present 32-page format. When I first became editor, I was so intent on cramming the bulletin with information that I did my first couple of issues in 10 pt. font. Well, that didn't go over too well, and I remember at AMCAL the RMS President took me aside and explained that older readers were complaining that they couldn't read the bulletin because the type was too small! I explained that I needed more room, and either I asked for more pages or I was offered more pages...and voilá! Our present 32-page bulletin because a reality.

The advent of e-mail and the internet has made the e-bulletin possible. I've talked at length before on the advantages of the e-bulletin, but one of those advantages is a format change that's appropriate for our discussion here...*e-bulletins are in color!* What a difference color makes in the bulletin! When I think of all the beautiful covers I've run over the years only to be eventually seen in drab black and white, I just want to cry! And, depending on how the printer runs off those bulletins, those drab black & white photos are often too dark or too light. Not a problem with e-bulletins!

Thus, the bulletins have evolved and adapted over the last 73 years, and I'm sure they will continue to do so. As long as there are covers, there will be collectors, clubs...and bulletins.