

RMS INSTA-POLL

“What size binders do you prefer?”

(1/2”, 1”, 2”, etc.)

[January - 112 responding]

<u>Size</u>	<u>Pref.</u>	<u>Notes:</u>
2”	42	<i>- I received lots of multiple answers, which have been counted separately. I should have foreseen that. People aren’t going to house tiny categories in large albums, no matter what their preferences.</i>
3”	27	
1”	21	
1 ½”	8	<i>- “all” normally denotes “whatever size fits the category” responses.</i>
2½”	8	
4”	7	<i>- Several respondents noted that they always try and get D-ring binders, whatever their size preference. (so do I)</i>
5”	3	
½”	1	
3½”	1	<i>- I find I get more space on my book shelves by putting my tiny categories together, alphabetically, in my 3” albums.</i>
all	12	
n/a	3	

Some random responses:

- “It depends on which part of my collection is involved. I use 2” ones for categories that have a lot of covers, but I would prefer 1” binders for smaller categories. And I am inclined to put two small categories into a 1” binder rather than use two 1/2” binders.”

- “Depends on the size of what will have to go in them. Santa Catalina, 2”- Perkins Americana , 5”, you also have to figure out the over all weight, a 3-4-5” full with plastic pages is a load. I would say 3” is just about right.”